

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,937	09/22/2006	Eberhard Witschas	HM-743PCT	5419
40570 7590 12/10/2007 FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER 317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910			EXAMINER	
			TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS	
NEW YORK,	NY 1001/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		mN				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/593,937	WITSCHAS, EBERHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward Tolan	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the property of the example.	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

10/593,937 Art Unit: 3725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not set forth filling the space (8)

Claims 1,4,5,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "such as" in lines 2 and 3 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "or the like" in line 11 renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the required or interacting machine units" in lines 4 and 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number:

10/593,937 Art Unit: 3725

Claim 4 recites the limitations "the left displacement" in line 3 and "the right displacement" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the displacement tracks" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the roll changing area" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the space" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minnerop et al. (5,941,115). Minnerop discloses a machine for installing machine unit foundations (2a,3a,4a) having roll sets (12) wherein the foundations are prefabricated and preassembled on site next to the production line (column 3, lines 32-45). The roll sets and foundations are inserted into a production line (1) as a complete modular unit along displacement tracks (16). In column 2, lines 1-5 Minnerop discloses that the preassembled installation is completely examined (tested) with the fittings mounted on during preassembly.

Claims 1-3 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "SMS Demag Newsletter", 9, No. 2, Sept. 2002. SMS discloses in figures 1-4 a method of installing concrete foundations into a machine line by displacing them from an area to the side of the machine line into a position within the machine line.

Claims 1-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson (4,471,642). Wilson discloses a machine for installing machine unit foundations (13) having roll stands (12) wherein the foundations are prefabricated and preassembled on site next to the production line (column 2, lines 49-63 and column 4, lines 8-11). The roll sets and foundations are inserted into a mill line as a complete modular unit along displacement tracks (33). Wilson discloses slideways (58) and pairs of double presses (50) carrying elevating members (53) for lifting and inserting vertical stands.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson (4,471,642) in view of Aratani et al. (6,425,278). Wilson does not disclose that the presses are supported on lifting points embedded in displacement tracks. Aratani teaches (column 6, lines 12-27) that it is known to use shift rails (34) attached to beams (36) which are lifting points for lifting and lowering rolling stands (27) into position. The

lifting beams are driven by presses (37) from beneath the rails (34). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide Wilson with lifting beams driven by presses underneath the rails as taught by Aratani in order to raise and lower the machine units for placement in the mill line from below the rails.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson (4,471,642) in view of Uppaluri (4,423,612). Wilson does not disclose roll markings. Uppaluri teaches markings (38) for reference position on a roll housing. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide the stand of Wilson with reference position markings as taught by Uppaluri in order to lock the stand into position.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525. FAX communications should be sent to 571-273-8300.

ED IQLAN MARY EXAMINER